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Four states in India: Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Jharkhand & West Bengal

83% reduction in incidence between 2011 and 2017 (provisional data)

Elimination target = <1 case/10,000 population at block level
  • May be insufficient to prevent resurgence

An improved understanding of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) transmission dynamics needs to be developed in order to meet the elimination target and break transmission
Xenomonitoring

• Xenomonitoring = assessing parasite DNA or RNA levels through PCR in the vector population, rather than the host population
• Potential for use as a passive surveillance system for VL
  • Non-invasive = fewer ethical implications than screening human populations
  • Potentially more cost effective than active case detection and more sensitive than traditional passive case detection systems
  • Indicates circulating parasite levels
• Shed light on the role of asymptomatics and post-kala azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) patients in maintaining transmission
Actions

Generate *Phlebotomus argentipes* sampling framework and standard operating procedures

- Collect and analyse *P. argentipes* from sites across blocks with no, low, and high VL transmission in Bihar
- Use data to develop transmission endpoint assessment guidelines for India’s VL control program

Utilise xenomonitoring and sero-surveillance data collected in the same place, at the same time, to improve transmission models